

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 SALVADOR AGUAYO, JR.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 GEORGIA-PACIFIC CORRUGATED
15 LLC,

16 Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00264-NONE-EPG

**ORDER REJECTING STIPULATED
PROTECTIVE ORDER**

(ECF No. 14)

17 On November 10, 2020, the parties filed a stipulated protective order. (ECF No. 14.) As
18 discussed below, the Stipulated Protective Order is rejected without prejudice because it cites to
19 the United States District Court for the Northern District of California's local rules.

20 Paragraph C of the parties' stipulated protective order states that "Local Civil Rule 79-5
21 sets forth the procedures that must be followed and the standards that will be applied when a
22 party seeks permission from the court to file material under seal." (*Id.*) Paragraphs 6.1 and 6.3
23 of the Stipulated Protective Order further indicate that challenges to designations of
24 confidentiality shall be initiated "under Local Rule 37-1 et seq." and "shall be via joint
25 stipulation pursuant to Local Rule 37-2." (*Id.*) Paragraph 12.3 likewise provides that "[a] Party
26 that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Local Civil Rule 79-5."
(*Id.*)

27 The parties' stipulation appears to refer to the Northern District of California's local
28 rules. Given that those local rules do not apply in this District, the Court rejects the stipulated

1 protective order without prejudice to the parties refiling a stipulated protective order for Court
2 approval with proper references to this Court's local rules.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: November 16, 2020

/s/ Eric P. Gray
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28